

**Fredericksburg Regional CoC
2019 VHSP Scoring Sheet
Coordinated Assessment/Entry**

Instructions

Project application scores should reflect the strength of the individual proposal as well as the relative strength of the proposal in comparison to other proposals for the same project type. Scores should also reflect the proposal’s ability to demonstrate strong collaboration among the network of projects within the homelessness response system. CoC planning and coordinated entry projects should be reviewed for eligibility and threshold requirements to determine whether or not they should be accepted, but do not need to be scored if there are no projects of the same type to compare them to.

Project application scores should inform the final project ranking, but the Funding & Performance Committee should also consider other factors, such as project type, population group served by the project, levels of unmet need, or other parameters.

Requests for renewal and expansion funding will be scored on one scoring sheet but in separate columns. Renewal and expansion requests may receive different scores and will be ranked separately by the Funding & Performance Committee.

Summary

Applicant:

Application Type: Renewal Renewal with Expansion

Renewal Amount Requested:

Expansion Amount Requested:

Threshold Review

| Category | Scoring Factors | Available | Received | | Comments |
|----------------------------------|---|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | | | Renewal | Expansion | |
| Applicant Threshold Requirements | Applicants requesting funds through any FRCoC collaborative application process must meet the following conditions: (1) Be a member of the FRCoC as defined in the Bylaws. (2) Agree to adhere to the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures, including the following requirements of that document: (a) Follow the Housing First model (b) Participate in the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Process and/or Victim Service Coordinated Entry Process | Pass/Fail | | | |

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--|-----------|--|--|--|
| | (c) Adhere to established project standards (d) Collect data through HMIS or a comparable database (3) Meet the eligibility requirements of the funding agency. | | | | |
| Additional Requirements | Must meet all of the following criteria to be considered: (1) Project applicants and potential subrecipients must have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grant(s) as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients, regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings. (2) For expansion projects, project applicants must articulate the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicants must clearly demonstrate that they are not replacing other funding sources. | Pass/Fail | | | |
| Applicant Eligibility | Applicant must be one of the following to apply for VHSP funding: non-profit, housing authority, planning district commission, or unit of local government. | Pass/Fail | | | |
| Match | Match equals 25% of requested amount. If project is requesting partial or full waiver of the match requirement, compelling reason for the waiver is given. | Pass/Fail | | | |

Project Review

| Category | Scoring Factors | Available | Received | | Comments |
|--|---|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|
| | | | Renewal | Expansion | |
| Alignment with Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures | 1 point: Project will staff and operate a coordinated assessment process that aligns with the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures. 0 point: Project does not align with the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures. | 1 | | | |
| Meets Community Need or Fills Gap | 4 points: Applicant strongly demonstrates the project meets a continued community need and/or fills a gap in FRCoC services. Data is provided and strongly demonstrates community need and/or gap. 3 points: Applicant demonstrates a continued community need and/or fills a gap in FRCoC services. However, data was not used; need is based on anecdotal evidence. 2 points: Applicant somewhat demonstrated the project meets a continued need and/or fills a gap. However, additional information is needed to fully demonstrate project need. | 4 | | | |

| | | | | | |
|----------------|--|----------|--|--|--|
| | 1 point: Applicant provides a weak argument to the project's ability to meet a community need and/or fill gap in FRCOC services. 0 points: Applicant does not demonstrate the project meets a need and/or fills a gap. | | | | |
| Findings | 1 point: Applicant does not have any unresolved monitoring or audit findings or has an adequate plan to address issues. 0 points: Applicant does have unresolved monitoring or audit findings and does not have an adequate plan to address issues. | 1 | | | |
| Spending Rates | DEDUCT 1 point for programs that DID NOT fully expend grant award for last full year of operation. | 0 | | | |
| Total | | 6 | | | |

Additional Comments

Changes Needed Before Collaborative Application Submitted (if any)