

**Fredericksburg Regional CoC
2020 VHSP Scoring Sheet
Coordinated Assessment/Entry**

Instructions

Project application scores should reflect the strength of the individual proposal as well as the relative strength of the proposal in comparison to other proposals for the same project type. Scores should also reflect the proposal’s ability to demonstrate strong collaboration among the network of projects within the homelessness response system. CoC planning and coordinated entry projects should be reviewed for eligibility and threshold requirements to determine whether or not they should be accepted, but do not need to be scored if there are no projects of the same type to compare them to.

Project application scores should inform the final project ranking, but the Funding & Performance Committee should also consider other factors, such as project type, population group served by the project, levels of unmet need, or other parameters.

Requests for renewal and expansion funding will be scored on one scoring sheet but in separate columns. Renewal and expansion requests may receive different scores and will be ranked separately by the Funding & Performance Committee.

Summary

Project Name:

Applicant:

Application Type: New Renewal Renewal with Expansion

Renewal Amount Requested:

New/Expansion Amount Requested:

Threshold Review

Category	Scoring Factors	Available	Received		Comments
			Renewal	New/ Expansion	
Applicant Threshold Requirements	Applicants requesting funds through any FRCoC collaborative application process must meet the following conditions: (1) Be a member of the FRCoC as defined in the Bylaws. (2) Agree to adhere to the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures, including the following requirements of that document:	Pass/Fail			

	<p>(a) Follow the Housing First model</p> <p>(b) Participate in the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Process and/or Victim Service Coordinated Entry Process</p> <p>(c) Adhere to established project standards</p> <p>(d) Collect data through HMIS or a comparable database</p> <p>(3) Meet the eligibility requirements of the funding agency.</p>				
Additional Requirements	<p>Must meet all of the following criteria to be considered:</p> <p>(1) Project applicants and potential subrecipients must have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grant(s) as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients, regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings.</p> <p>(2) For expansion projects, project applicants must articulate the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicants must clearly demonstrate that they are not replacing other funding sources.</p>	Pass/Fail			
Applicant Eligibility	Applicant must be one of the following to apply for VHSP funding: non-profit, housing authority, planning district commission, or unit of local government.	Pass/Fail			
Match	Match equals 25% of requested amount. If project is requesting partial or full waiver of the match requirement, compelling reason for the waiver is given.	Pass/Fail			

Project Review

Category	Scoring Factors	Available	Received		Comments
			Renewal	New/Expansion	
Alignment with Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures	<p>1 point: Project will staff and operate a coordinated assessment process that aligns with the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures.</p> <p>0 point: Project does not align with the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures.</p>	1			
Meets Community Need or Fills Gap	<p>2 points: Project continues to meet a community need and/or fills a gap in FRCoC services.</p> <p>1 point: Project somewhat meets a need and/or fills a gap.</p> <p>0 points: Project does not meet a need and/or fill a gap.</p>	2			
24 Hour Access	<p>1 point: Project will provide or partner with other providers to provide 24-hour access to coordinated assessment.</p> <p>0 points: Project is not able to provide 24-hour access.</p>	1			

Diversion	1 point: Project will work to divert all persons accessing coordinated assessment whenever possible. 0 point: Project will not work to divert all persons accessing coordinated assessment whenever possible.	1			
Leveraging System Partnerships	2 points: Project fully leverages partnerships within the homelessness response system to limit duplication. 1 point: Project somewhat leverages partnerships within the homelessness response system to limit duplication. 0 points: Project does not leverage partnerships within the homelessness response system to limit duplication.	2			
Low Barrier	2 points: Project has no barriers to entry or continued services (does not screen people out based on severity of needs and vulnerabilities and does not terminate project participants for activities not covered in a typical lease agreement). 1 point: Project has some barriers to entry or continued services. 0 points: Project has many barriers to entry or continued services.	2			
Capacity	2 points: Staff, organizational structure and capacity, and internal coordination are sufficient to carry out proposed activities. 1 point: Staff, organizational structure and capacity, and internal coordination are somewhat sufficient. 0 points: Staff, organizational structure and capacity, and internal coordination are not sufficient.	2			
Prior Experience	2 points: Applicant/sub recipients have previous experience in effectively utilizing state funds and performing proposed eligible activities AND serving proposed target population. 1 point: Applicant/sub recipients have experience with only one. 0 points: Applicant/sub recipients have experience with neither.	2			
Findings	1 point: Applicant does not have any unresolved monitoring or audit findings or has an adequate plan to address issues. 0 points: Applicant does have unresolved monitoring or audit findings and does not have an adequate plan to address issues.	1			
Spending Rates	DEDUCT 1 point for programs that DID NOT fully expend grant award for last full year of operation.	0			
Total		14			

Additional Comments

Changes Needed Before Collaborative Application Submitted (if any)