

**Fredericksburg Regional CoC
2020 VHSP Scoring Sheet
Outreach; Emergency Shelter Operations; Rapid Re-Housing; Targeted Prevention**

Instructions

Project application scores should reflect the strength of the individual proposal as well as the relative strength of the proposal in comparison to other proposals for the same project type. Scores should also reflect the proposal’s ability to demonstrate strong collaboration among the network of projects within the homelessness response system.

Project application scores should inform the final project ranking, but the Funding & Performance Committee should also consider other factors, such as project type, population group served by the project, levels of unmet need, or other parameters.

Requests for renewal and expansion funding will be scored on one scoring sheet but in separate columns. Renewal and expansion requests may receive different scores and will be ranked separately by the Funding & Performance Committee.

Summary

Project Name:

Applicant:

Project Type: Outreach Emergency Shelter Operations Rapid Re-Housing Targeted Prevention

Application Type: New Renewal Renewal with Expansion

Renewal Amount Requested:

New/Expansion Amount Requested:

Proposed # Households with Children Served:

Proposed # Households without Children Served:

Proposed % Households Served through Victim Service Coordinated Entry Process:

Threshold Review

Category	Scoring Factors	Available	Received		Comments
			Renewal	New/ Expansion	
Applicant Threshold	Applicants requesting funds through any FRCoC collaborative application process must meet the following conditions:	Pass/Fail			

Requirements	(1) Be a member of the FRCoC as defined in the Bylaws. (2) Agree to adhere to the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures, including the following requirements of that document: (a) Follow the Housing First model (b) Participate in the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Process and/or Victim Service Coordinated Entry Process (c) Adhere to established project standards (d) Collect data through HMIS or a comparable database (3) Meet the eligibility requirements of the funding agency.				
Additional Requirements	Must meet all of the following criteria to be considered: (1) Project applicants and potential subrecipients must have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grant(s) as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients, regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings. (2) For expansion projects, project applicants must articulate the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicants must clearly demonstrate that they are not replacing other funding sources.	Pass/Fail			
Applicant Eligibility	Applicant must be one of the following to apply for VHSP funding: non-profit, housing authority, planning district commission, or unit of local government.	Pass/Fail			
Match	Match equals 25% of requested amount. If project is requesting partial or full waiver of the match requirement, compelling reason for the waiver is given.	Pass/Fail			

Project Review

Category	Scoring Factors	Available	Received		Comments
			Renewal	New/Expansion	
Meets Community Need or Fills Gap	2 points: Project continues to meet a community need and/or fills a gap in FRCoC services. 1 point: Project somewhat meets a need and/or fills a gap. 0 points: Project does not meet a need and/or fill a gap.	2			
Housing First	2 points: Organization fully implements a Housing First approach. 1 point: Organization partially implements a Housing First approach.	2			

	0 points: Organization does not implement a Housing First approach.				
Permanent Housing Systems	2 points: Thorough systems are in place to ensure households experiencing homelessness are moved quickly to permanent housing and remain stably housed. 1 point: These systems are somewhat in place. 0 points: These systems are not in place.	2			
Employment and Income Growth (RRH and Prevention Only)	2 points: Project fully assists participants to increase their employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. 1 point: Project somewhat assists with employment/income and living independently. 0 points: Project does not assist with employment/income and living independently.	2			
Leveraging Mainstream Resources	2 points: Project fully leverages mainstream resources including employment, mental health, social security, TANF, etc. and demonstrates outcomes or improved processes as a result of these connections. 1 point: Project somewhat leverages mainstream resources. 0 points: Project does not leverage mainstream resources.	2			
Leveraging System Partnerships	2 points: Project fully leverages partnerships within the homelessness response system to limit duplication. 1 point: Project somewhat leverages partnerships within the homelessness response system to limit duplication. 0 points: Project does not leverage partnerships within the homelessness response system to limit duplication.	2			
Harder-to-Serve Populations	2 points: Project fully makes efforts to serve harder-to-serve populations. 1 point: Project partially makes efforts to serve harder-to-serve populations. 0 points: Project does not make efforts to serve harder-to-serve populations.	2			
Barriers to Services	2 points: Organization or project does not have rules or requirements for assistance that could act as a barrier to services. 1 point: Organization or project has rules or requirements for assistance that could act as a barrier to services but does make an effort to assist households that do not or cannot meet the	2			

	requirement(s). 0 points: Organization or project has rules or requirements for assistance that could act as a barrier to services and does not make an effort to assist households that do not or cannot meet the requirement(s).				
Capacity	2 points: Staff, organizational structure and capacity, and internal coordination are sufficient to carry out proposed activities. 1 point: Staff, organizational structure and capacity, and internal coordination are somewhat sufficient. 0 points: Staff, organizational structure and capacity, and internal coordination are not sufficient.	2			
Prior Experience	2 points: Applicant/sub recipients have previous experience in effectively utilizing state funds and performing proposed eligible activities AND serving proposed target population. 1 point: Applicant/sub recipients have experience with only one. 0 points: Applicant/sub recipients have experience with neither.	2			
Findings	1 point: Applicant does not have any unresolved monitoring or audit findings or has an adequate plan to address issues. 0 points: Applicant does have unresolved monitoring or audit findings and does not have an adequate plan to address issues.	1			
Spending Rates	DEDUCT 1 point for programs that DID NOT fully expend grant award for last full year of operation.	0			
Job Descriptions	1 point: Job descriptions are housing-focused. 0 points: Job descriptions are not housing-focused.	1			
Total		22			

Additional Comments

Changes Needed Before Collaborative Application Submitted (if any)