

**Fredericksburg Regional CoC
2021 CoC Program Scoring Sheet
CoC Planning**

Instructions

Only the Collaborative Applicant is eligible to apply for CoC planning funds. Per the FRCoC Funding Policies & Procedures, CoC planning projects should be reviewed for eligibility and threshold requirements to determine whether or not they should be accepted, but do not need to be scored if there are no projects of the same type to compare them to.

The CoC planning project will not be ranked and will not affect the CoC’s available amount for new and renewal project applications as it is not counted as part of the CoC’s final Annual Renewal Demand (ARD). HUD will conditionally select all CoC planning projects that pass eligibility and threshold review.

Summary

Applicant:

Name of Planning Project in e-snaps:

Amount Requested:

Threshold Review - Staff Review

Category	Scoring Factors	Available	Received	Comments
CoC Eligibility Requirements	Applicants requesting funds through any FRCoC collaborative application process must meet all of the following conditions: (1) Be a member of the FRCoC as defined in the Bylaws. (2) Agree to adhere to the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures, including the following requirements of that document: (a) Follow the Housing First model (b) Participate in the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Process and/or Victim Service Coordinated Entry Process (c) Adhere to established project standards (d) Collect data through HMIS or a comparable database	Pass/Fail		
Applicant Eligibility	Applicant must be one of the following to apply for CoC Program funding: non-profit organization, state, local government, instrumentality of a state or local government, or public housing authority. Only the Collaborative Applicant is eligible to apply for CoC planning funds.	Pass/Fail		

<p>Project Eligibility Threshold</p>	<p>Projects must meet all of the following criteria to be considered:</p> <p>(1) Project applicants and potential subrecipients must meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in 24 CFR part 578 and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation).</p> <p>(2) Project applicants and subrecipients must demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds. Demonstrating capacity may include a description of the applicant/subrecipient experience with similar projects and with successful administration of SHP, S+C, or CoC Program funds or other federal funds.</p> <p>(3) Project applicants must submit the required certifications as specified in this NOFA.</p> <p>(4) The population to be served must meet program eligibility requirements as described in the Act and 24 CFR part 578 and Section II.B.10.f of this NOFA.</p> <p>(5) Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, must agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that meets the needs of the local HMIS.</p>	<p>Pass/Fail</p>		
<p>Project Quality Threshold</p>	<p>CoC planning projects, submitted only by the CoC's designated Collaborative Applicant, must receive at least 3 out of the 5 points available to be considered:</p> <p>(1) Governance and Operations - The CoC conducts meetings of the entire CoC membership that are inclusive and open to members and demonstrates the CoC has a written governance charter in place that includes CoC policies (1 point);</p> <p>(2) CoC Committees - The CoC has CoC-wide planning committees, subcommittees, or workgroups to address homeless needs in the CoC's geographic area that recommends and sets policy priorities for the CoC. (1 point);</p> <p>(3) The proposed planning activities that will be carried out by the CoC with grant funds are compliant with the provisions of 24 CFR 578.7. (2 points); and</p> <p>(4) The funds requested will improve the CoC's ability to evaluate the outcome of both CoC Program-funded and ESG-funded projects. (1 point)</p>	<p>5</p>		

Additional Requirements	<p>Must meet all of the following criteria to be considered:</p> <p>(1) Project applicants and potential subrecipients must have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grant(s) as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients, regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings.</p> <p>(2) For expansion projects, project applicants must articulate the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicants must clearly demonstrate that they are not replacing other funding sources.</p> <p>(3) Project applicants must demonstrate they will be able to meet all timeliness standards per 24 CFR 578.85.</p>	Pass/Fail		
Match	The recipient or subrecipient must match all grant funds, except for leasing funds, with no less than 25% of funds or in-kind contributions from other sources	Pass/Fail		

Project Review - Funding and Performance Committee Review

Category	Scoring Factors	Available	Received	Comments
HUD/CoC Priorities				
Community Need	<p>2 points: Project continues to meet a community need and/or fills a gap in FRCoC services.</p> <p>1 point: Project somewhat meets a need and/or fills a gap.</p> <p>0 points: Project does not meet a need and/or fill a gap.</p>	2		
HUD/CoC PRIORITIES TOTAL		2		
Project Performance				
Compliance with 24 CFR 578.7	<p>1 point: The proposed planning activities that will be carried out by the CoC Lead Agency comply with the provisions of 24 CFR 578.7.</p> <p>0 points: Proposed activities do not comply with 24 CFR 578.7.</p>	1		
CoC Improvement	<p>1 point: Funds requested will improve the CoC's ability to evaluate the outcomes of both CoC Program-funded and ESG-funded projects.</p> <p>0 points: Funds requested will not improve the CoC's ability to evaluate the outcomes of both CoC Program-funded and ESG-funded projects.</p>	1		
Budget Appropriateness	<p>2 points: The project's budget provided sufficient detail and made sense given the project description.</p> <p>1 point: The project's budget left some questions on spending and was somewhat out of sync with project description.</p> <p>0 points: The Budget was not clear, and was inappropriate for project description.</p>	2		

PROJECT PERFORMANCE TOTAL		5		
Program Operations and Administration				
Organizational Capacity	2 points: Organizational structure and capacity are sufficient to carry out proposed activities. 1 point: Organizational structure and capacity are somewhat sufficient to carry our proposed activities. 0 points: Organizational structure and capacity are not sufficient to carry out proposed activities.	2		
Staff Capacity	2 points: Staff capacity is sufficient to carry out proposed activities. 1 point: Staff capacity is somewhat sufficient to carry out proposed activities. 0 points: Staff capacity is not sufficient to carry out proposed activities.	2		
Prior Experience	2 points: Applicant/sub recipients have previous experience in effectively utilizing federal funds and performing proposed eligible activities. 1 point: Applicant/sub recipients have experience with only one. 0 points: Applicant/sub recipients have experience with neither.	2		
Findings	1 point: Applicant does not have any unresolved monitoring or audit findings or has an adequate plan to address issues. 0 points: Applicant does have unresolved monitoring or audit findings and does not have an adequate plan to address issues.	1		
Spending Rates	DEDUCT 1 point for programs that DID NOT fully expend grant award for last full year of operation.	0		
PROGRAM OPERATIONS AND ADMINISTRATION TOTAL		7		
TOTAL SCORING				
HUC/CoC Priorities		2		
Project Performance		5		
Program Operations and Administration		7		
TOTAL		14		

Additional Comments

Changes Needed Before Collaborative Application Submitted (if any)