

**Fredericksburg Regional CoC
2021 CoC Program Scoring Sheet
SSO - Coordinated Entry**

Instructions

Project application scores should reflect the strength of the individual proposal as well as the relative strength of the proposal in comparison to other proposals for the same project type. Scores should also reflect the proposal’s ability to demonstrate strong collaboration among the network of projects within the homelessness response system. Per the FRCoC Funding Policies & Procedures, coordinated entry projects should be reviewed for eligibility and threshold requirements to determine whether or not they should be accepted, but do not need to be scored if there are no projects of the same type to compare them to.

Project application scores should inform the final project ranking, but the Funding & Performance Committee should also consider other factors, such as project type, population group served by the project, levels of unmet need, or other parameters.

Renewal and expansion requests for the same project will be scored on one scoring sheet but in separate columns. Renewal and expansion requests may receive different scores and will be ranked separately by the Funding & Performance Committee.

Summary

Applicant:

Application Type: Renewal Renewal and Expansion New

Name of Renewal Project in e-snaps:

Name of New or Expansion Project in e-snaps:

Renewal Amount Requested:

New/Expansion Amount Requested:

Threshold Review – Staff Review

Category	Scoring Factors	Available	Received		Comments
			Renewal	New/ Expansion	
Applicant Threshold Requirements	<p>Applicants requesting funds through any FRCoC collaborative application process must meet all of the following conditions:</p> <p>(1) Be a member of the FRCoC as defined in the Bylaws.</p> <p>(2) Agree to adhere to the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures, including the following requirements of that document:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Follow the Housing First model (b) Participate in the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Process and/or Victim Service Coordinated Entry Process (c) Adhere to established project standards (d) Collect data through HMIS or a comparable database <p>(3) Meet the eligibility requirements of the funding agency.</p>	Pass/Fail			
Applicant Eligibility	<p>Applicant must be one of the following to apply for CoC Program funding: non-profit organization, state, local government, instrumentality of a state or local government, or public housing authority.</p>	Pass/Fail			
Project Eligibility Threshold	<p>Projects must meet all of the following criteria to be considered:</p> <p>(1) Project applicants and potential subrecipients must meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in 24 CFR part 578 and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation).</p> <p>(2) Project applicants and subrecipients must demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds. Demonstrating capacity may include a description of the applicant/subrecipient experience with similar projects and with successful administration of SHP, S+C, or CoC Program funds or other federal funds.</p> <p>(3) Project applicants must submit the required certifications as specified in this NOFA.</p> <p>(4) The population to be served must meet program eligibility requirements as described in the Act and 24 CFR part 578 and Section II.B.10.f of this NOFA.</p> <p>(5) Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only</p>	Pass/Fail			

	receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, must agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that meets the needs of the local HMIS.				
Project Quality Threshold	<p>New projects only: New SSO-Coordinated Entry project applications (also known as centralized or coordinated assessment) must receive at least 2 out of the 4 points available and must receive the point under the fifth criteria to be considered:</p> <p>(1) The centralized or coordinated assessment system is easily available/reachable for all persons within the CoC’s geographic area who are seeking information regarding homelessness assistance. The system must also be accessible for persons with disabilities within the CoC’s geographic area (1point);</p> <p>(2) There is a strategy for advertising that is designed specifically to reach homeless persons with the highest barriers within the CoC’s geographic area (1 point);</p> <p>(3) There is a standardized assessment process (1 point); and</p> <p>(4) The project ensures program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services that fit their needs (1 point).</p>	Pass/Fail	N/A		
Project Renewal Threshold	<p>Renewal projects only: When considering renewal projects for award, HUD will review information in eLOCCS; Annual Performance Reports (APRs); and information provided from the local HUD CPD Field Office, including monitoring reports and audit reports as applicable, and performance standards on prior grants, and will assess projects using the following criteria on a pass/fail basis:</p> <p>(1) Whether the project applicant's performance met the plans and goals established in the initial application, as amended;</p> <p>(2) Whether the project applicant demonstrated all timeliness standards for grants being renewed, including those standards for the expenditure of grant funds that have been met;</p> <p>(3) The project applicant's performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and records of success, except dedicated HMIS projects that are not required to meet this standard; and,</p> <p>(4) Whether there is evidence that a project applicant has been</p>	Pass/Fail	N/A		

	unwilling to accept technical assistance, has a history of inadequate financial accounting practices, has indications of project mismanagement, has a drastic reduction in the population served, has made program changes without prior HUD approval, or has lost a project site.				
Additional Requirements	<p>Must meet all of the following criteria to be considered:</p> <p>(1) Project applicants and potential subrecipients must have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grant(s) as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients, regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings.</p> <p>(2) For expansion projects, project applicants must articulate the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicants must clearly demonstrate that they are not replacing other funding sources.</p> <p>(3) Project applicants must demonstrate they will be able to meet all timeliness standards per 24 CFR 578.85.</p>	Pass/Fail			
Match	The recipient or subrecipient must match all grant funds, except for leasing funds, with no less than 25% of funds or in-kind contributions from other sources.	Pass/Fail			

Project Review – Funding and Performance Committee Review

Category	Scoring Factors	Available	Received		Comments
			Renewal	New/ Expansion	
HUD/CoC Priorities					
Community Need	2 points: Project continues to meet a community need and/or fills a gap in FRCoC services. 1 point: Project somewhat meets a need and/or fills a gap. 0 points: Project does not meet a need and/or fill a gap.	2			
24 Hour Access	1 point: Project will provide or partner with other providers to provide 24-hour access to coordinated assessment. 0 points: Project is not able to provide 24-hour access.	1			
Diversion	1 point: Project will work to divert all persons accessing coordinated assessment whenever possible. 0 point: Project will not work to divert all persons accessing coordinated assessment whenever possible.	1			
Leveraging System Partnerships	2 points: Project fully leverages partnerships within the homelessness response system to limit duplication. 1 point: Project somewhat leverages partnerships within the homelessness response system to limit duplication. 0 points: Project does not leverage partnerships within the homelessness response system to limit duplication.	2			
HUD/COC PRIORITIES TOTAL		6			
Coordinated Entry and Accessibility					
Alignment with Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures	1 point: Project will staff and operate a coordinated assessment process that aligns with the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures. 0 point: Project does not align with the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures.	1			
Housing First: Low Barrier	2 points: Project has no barriers to entry or continued services (does not screen people out based on severity of needs and vulnerabilities and does not terminate project participants for activities not covered in a typical lease agreement). 1 point: Project has some barriers to entry or continued services. 0 points: Project has many barriers to entry or continued services.	2			
Racial Barriers	2 points: The project has completed an assessment on the barriers to participation by participants of different races and	2			

	ethnicities AND has taken steps to eliminate those barriers. 1 point: The project has completed an assessment on the barriers to participation by participants of different races and ethnicities but has not taken steps to eliminate those barriers. 0 points: The project has not completed an assessment on the barriers to participation by participants of different races and ethnicities.				
COORDINATED ENTRY AND ACCESSIBILITY TOTAL		5			
Project Performance					
HMIS or Comparable Database Use	1 Point: The Project uses HMIS or an HMIS comparable database. 0 Points: Project is not using HMIS or a comparable database	1			
Budget Appropriateness	2 points: The project's budget provided sufficient detail and made sense given the project description. 1 point: The project's budget left some question on spending and was somewhat out of sync with project description. 0 points: The Budget was not clear, and was inappropriate for project description and target population.	2			
PROJECT PERFORMANCE TOTAL		3			
Program Operations and Administration					
Organizational Capacity	2 points: Organizational structure and capacity are sufficient to carry out proposed activities. 1 point: Organizational structure and capacity are somewhat sufficient to carry our proposed activities. 0 points: Organizational structure and capacity are not sufficient to carry out proposed activities.	2			
Staff Capacity	2 points: Staff capacity is sufficient to carry out proposed activities. 1 point: Staff capacity is somewhat sufficient to carry out proposed activities. 0 points: Staff capacity is not sufficient to carry out proposed activities.	2			
Prior Experience	2 points: Applicant/sub recipients have previous experience in effectively utilizing federal funds and performing proposed eligible activities AND serving proposed target population. 1 point: Applicant/sub recipients have experience with only one. 0 points: Applicant/sub recipients have experience with neither.	2			
Findings	1 point: Applicant does not have any unresolved monitoring or audit findings or has an adequate plan to address issues.	1			

	0 points: Applicant does not have unresolved monitoring or audit findings and does not have an adequate plan to address issues.				
Spending Rates	DEDUCT 2 points for programs that DID NOT fully expend grant award for last full year of operation.	0			
PROGRAM OPERATIONS AND ADMINISTRATION TOTAL		7			
Domestic Violence Projects					
Addresses Current Inadequacies	1 point: Project addresses current inadequacies in the needs of survivors of domestic violence. 0 points: Project does not address inadequacies in the needs of survivors of domestic violence.	1			
Trauma-Informed, Victim Centered	1 point: The project will use trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches in the administration of the project.	1			
Safety of DV Survivors	2 points: Project demonstrates how it will ensure the safety of DV survivors 1 point: Project somewhat demonstrates how it will ensure the safety of DV survivors 0 points: Project does not demonstrate how it will ensure the safety of DV survivors.	2			
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE PROJECTS TOTAL		4			
TOTAL SCORING					
HUD/CoC Priorities		6			
Coordinated Entry and Accessibility		5			
Project Performance		3			
Program Operations and Administration		7			
Domestic Violence Factors		4			
Non-DV Total		21			
DV Total		25			

Additional Comments

Changes Needed Before Collaborative Application Submitted (if any)