Fredericksburg Regional CoC
2023 CoC Program Scoring Sheet
Joint Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing

Instructions

Project application scores should reflect the strength of the individual proposal as well as the relative strength of the proposal in comparison to other proposals for the same project type. Scores should also reflect the proposal's ability to demonstrate strong collaboration among the network of projects within the homelessness response system.

Project application scores should inform the final project ranking, but the Funding & Performance Committee should also consider other factors, such as project type, population group served by the project, levels of unmet need, or other parameters.

Renewal and expansion requests for the same project will be scored on one scoring sheet but in separate columns. Renewal and expansion requests may receive different scores and will be ranked separately by the Funding & Performance Committee.

Summary

Applicant:
Name of Renewal Project in e-snaps:
Name of New or Expansion Project in e-snaps:
Application Type: ☐ New ☐ Renewal ☐ Renewal and Expansion
Project Type: ☐ Permanent Supportive Housing ☐ Rapid Re-Housing

Renewal Amount Requested:
New/Expansion Amount Requested:

Proposed # Households with Children Served:
Proposed # Households without Children Served:
Proposed % DV Households:

Threshold Review – Staff Review

Category	Scoring Factors	Available	Received		Comments
			Renewal	New/ Expansion	
CoC Eligibility Requirements	Applicants requesting funds through any FRCoC collaborative application process must meet **all** of the following conditions: (1) Be a member of the FRCoC as defined in the Bylaws. (2) Agree to adhere to the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Policies & Procedures, including the following requirements of that document: (a) Follow the Housing First model (b) Participate in the FRCoC Coordinated Entry Process and/or Victim Service Coordinated Entry Process (c) Adhere to established project standards (d) Collect data through HMIS or a comparable database (3) Meet the eligibility requirements of the funding agency.	Pass/Fail			
Applicant Eligibility	Applicant must be one of the following to apply for CoC Program funding: non-profit organization, state, local government, instrumentality of a state or local government, or public housing authority.	Pass/Fail			
Project Eligibility Threshold	Projects must meet **all** of the following criteria to be considered: (1) Project applicants and potential subrecipients must meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in 24 CFR part 578 and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation). (2) Project applicants and subrecipients must demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds. Demonstrating capacity may include a description of the applicant/subrecipient experience with similar projects and with successful administration of SHP, S+C, or CoC Program funds or other federal funds. (3) Project applicants must submit the required certifications as specified in this NOFA. (4) The population to be served must meet program eligibility requirements as described in the Act and 24 CFR part 578 and Section II.B.10.f of this NOFA.	Pass/Fail			

	(5) Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, must agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that meets the needs of the local HMIS.				
Project Quality Threshold	**New projects only**: New Joint TH &RRH projects must receive **at least 4 of the 6 points available and receive the point under the fourth criteria** to be considered: (1) The type of housing proposed, including the number and configuration of units, will fit the needs of the program participants (e.g., two or more bedrooms for families) (1 point); (2) The proposed project will provide enough rapid re-housing assistance to ensure that at any given time a program participant may move from transitional housing to permanent housing. This may be demonstrated by identifying a budget that has twice as many resources for the rapid re-housing portion of the project than the TH portion, by having twice as many PH-RRH units at a point in time as TH units, or by demonstrating that the budget and units are appropriate for the population being served by the project (1 point); (3) The type of supportive services that will be offered to program participants will ensure successful retention or help to obtain permanent housing, including all supportive services regardless of funding source (1 point); (4) The proposed project has a specific plan for ensuring program participants will be individually assisted to obtain the benefits of mainstream health, social, and employment programs for which they are eligible to apply meets the needs of program participants (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, SSI, Food Stamps, local Workforce office, early childhood education) (1 point); (5) Program participants are assisted to obtain and remain in permanent housing in a manner that fits their needs (e.g., provides the participant with some type of transportation to access needed services, safety planning, case management, additional assistance to ensure retention of permanent housing). (1 point);	Pass/Fail	N/A		

	(6) The project has low barriers to entry and prioritizes rapid placement and stabilization in permanent housing. (1 point)				
Project Renewal Threshold	**Renewal projects only:** When considering renewal projects for award, HUD will review information in eLOCCS; Annual Performance Reports (APRs); and information provided from the local HUD CPD Field Office, including monitoring reports and audit reports as applicable, and performance standards on prior grants, and will assess projects using the following criteria on a pass/fail basis: (1) Whether the project applicant's performance met the plans and goals established in the initial application, as amended; (2) Whether the project applicant demonstrated all timeliness standards for grants being renewed, including those standards for the expenditure of grant funds that have been met; (3) The project applicant's performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and records of success, except dedicated HMIS projects that are not required to meet this standard; and, (4) Whether there is evidence that a project applicant has been unwilling to accept technical assistance, has a history of inadequate financial accounting practices, has indications of project mismanagement, has a drastic reduction in the population served, has made program changes without prior HUD approval, or has lost a project site.	Pass/Fail		N/A	
Additional Requirements	Must meet **all** of the following criteria to be considered: (1) Project applicants and potential subrecipients must have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grant(s) as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients, regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings. (2) For expansion projects, project applicants must articulate the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicants must clearly demonstrate that they are not replacing other funding sources. (3) Project applicants must demonstrate they will be able to meet all timeliness standards per 24 CFR 578.85.	Pass/Fail			
Match	The recipient or subrecipient must match all grant funds, except for leasing funds, with no less than 25% of funds or in-kind contributions from other sources.	Pass/Fail			

Project Review – Funding and Performance Committee Review

Category	Scoring Factors	Available	Received		Comments
			Renewal	New/ Expansion	
HUD/CoC Priorities					
Population Served	1 point: the project targets at least one of the following populations: • Chronically homeless population • Unsheltered homeless population • Highly vulnerable population (many barriers to housing)	1			
Community Need	2 points: Project meets or continues to meet a community need and/or fills a gap in FRCoC services. 1 point: Project somewhat meets a need and/or fills a gap. 0 points: Project does not meet a need and/or fill a gap.	2			
Leveraging Mainstream Resources	2 points: Project fully leverages mainstream resources including employment, mental health, social security, TANF, etc. and demonstrates outcomes or improved processes as a result of these connections. 1 point: Project somewhat leverages mainstream resources. 0 points: Project does not leverage mainstream resources.	2			
Leveraging System Partnerships	2 points: Project fully leverages partnerships within the homelessness response system to limit duplication. 1 point: Project somewhat leverages partnerships within the homelessness response system to limit duplication. 0 points: Project does not leverage partnerships within the homelessness response system to limit duplication.	2			
BONUS POINTS: Leveraging Housing Resources	2 points: The project has formal partnerships with additional housing resources to support housing project. 1 point: The project has an informal partnership with additional housing resources to support housing project. 0 points: The project has no partnerships with additional housing resources to support housing project.	2			
BONUS POINTS: Partnering with Healthcare	2 points: The project has formal partnerships with additional healthcare resources to support housing project. 1 point: The project has an informal partnership with additional healthcare resources to support housing project. 0 points: The project has no partnerships with additional healthcare resources to support housing project.	2			

HUD/COC PRIORITIES TOTAL (EXCLUDING BONUS)		**7**			
BONUS TOTAL		**4**			
Coordinated Entry and Accessibility					
Use of Coordinated Entry	2 points: The project utilizes the CoC's coordinated entry system or a comparable Domestic Violence system as the only source of referrals for program participants. 0 points: The project does not use the CoC's coordinated entry system or a comparable Domestic violence system as the only source of referrals for program participants.	2			
Housing First: No Preconditions for Housing	2 points: The project quickly moves participants into permanent housing without preconditions or extra steps required to be met. 0 points: These systems are not in place.	2			
Housing First: Low Barrier Access	2 points: Project has no barriers to entry or continued services (does not screen people out based on severity of needs and vulnerabilities). 0 points: Project has barriers to entry or continued services.	2			
Housing First: Termination Policies	2 points: Project does not terminate project participants for activities not covered in a typical lease agreement (All options in 7.c. should be checked). 0 points: Project terminates project participants for other activities not covered in typical lease agreement.	2			
Racial Equity	2 points: The project has completed an assessment on the barriers to participation by participants of different races and ethnicities AND has taken steps to eliminate those barriers. 1 point: The project has completed an assessment on the barriers to participation by participants of different races and ethnicities but has not taken steps to eliminate those barriers. 0 points: The project has not completed an assessment on the barriers to participation by participants of different races and ethnicities.	2			
COORDINATED ENTRY AND ACCESSIBILITY TOTAL		**10**			
Project Performance					
HMIS or Comparable Database Use	1 Point: The Project uses HMIS or an HMIS comparable database. 0 Points: Project is not using HMIS or a comparable database	1			
Exits to Permanent Housing	2 points: Percentage of exits to permanent housing is 80% - 100% 1 point: Percentage of exits to permanent housing is 50% - 79%	2			

	0 points: Percentage of exits to permanent housing is less than 50%.				
Average Days to Housing	2 points: Average days to housing is 60 or less. 1 point: Average days to housing is 90 or less 0 points: Average days to housing is more than 90 day.	2			
Rapid Placement in Permanent Housing	2 points: Thorough systems are in place to ensure households experiencing homelessness are moved quickly to permanent housing and remain stably housed. 1 point: These systems are somewhat in place. 0 points: These systems are not in place.	2			
Percentage of increase to income	2 points: More than 50% of participants increased their income (employment or benefits). 1 point: 25% - 49% of participants increased their income. 0 points: Less than 25% of participants increased their income.	2			
Jobs and Income Growth	2 points: Project fully assists participants to increase their employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. 1 point: Project somewhat assists with employment/income and living independently. 0 points: Project does not assist with employment/income and living independently.	2			
Cost Effectiveness	2 points: At or below average for the region ($5,000 for RRH; $9,700 per year for PSH.) 1 point: Within 25% over the average for the region (above). 0 points: Over 25% higher than the average for the region.	2			
Budget Appropriateness	2 points: The project's budget provided sufficient detail and made sense given the project description and target population. 1 point: The project's budget left some question on spending and was somewhat out of sync with project description and target population. 0 points: The Budget was not clear, and was inappropriate for project description and target population.	2			
PROJECT PERFORMANCE TOTAL		**15**			
Program Operations and Administration					
Organizational Capacity	2 points: Organizational structure and capacity are sufficient to carry out proposed activities. 1 point: Organizational structure and capacity are somewhat sufficient to carry our proposed activities.	2			

	0 points: Organizational structure and capacity are not sufficient to carry out proposed activities.				
Staff Capacity	2 points: Staff capacity is sufficient to carry out proposed activities. 1 point: Staff capacity is somewhat sufficient to carry out proposed activities. 0 points: Staff capacity is not sufficient to carry out proposed activities.	2			
Prior Experience	2 points: Applicant/sub recipients have previous experience in effectively utilizing federal funds and performing proposed eligible activities AND serving proposed target population. 1 point: Applicant/sub recipients have experience with only one. 0 points: Applicant/sub recipients have experience with neither.	2			
Findings	1 point: Applicant does not have any unresolved monitoring or audit findings or has an adequate plan to address issues. 0 points: Applicant does have unresolved monitoring or audit findings and does not have an adequate plan to address issues.	1			
Spending Rates	DEDUCT 2 points for programs that DID NOT fully expend grant award for last full year of operation.	0			
PROGRAM OPERATIONS AND ADMINISTRATION TOTAL		**7**			
Domestic Violence Projects					
Trauma-Informed, Victim Centered	1 point: The project will use trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches in the administration of the project.	1			
Safety of DV Survivors	2 points: Project demonstrates how it will ensure the safety of DV survivors 1 point: Project somewhat demonstrates how it will ensure the safety of DV survivors 0 points: Project does not demonstrate how it will ensure the safety of DV survivors.	2			
DOMESTIC VIOLENCE PROJECTS TOTAL		**3**			
TOTAL SCORING					
HUD/CoC Priorities (EXCLUDING BONUS)		**7**			
Coordinated Entry and Accessibility		**10**			
Project Performance		**15**			
Program Operations and Administration		**7**			
Domestic Violence Factors		**3**			
Non-DV Total (EXCLUDING BONUS)		**39**			

DV Total (EXCLUDING BONUS)	**42**			
Bonus Points	**4**			
Non-DV Total with Bonus	**43**			
DV Total with Bonus	**46**			

Additional Comments

Changes Needed Before Collaborative Application Submitted (if any)